UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/654,025	09/01/2000	Mark L. Yoseloff	PA0463.ap.US	5837
	7590 08/09/2007 & LLOYD LLP	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 1135	60600	MOSSER, ROBERT E		
CHICAGO, IL 60690			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		·	08/09/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATENTS@BELLBOYD.COM

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/654,025	YOSELOFF ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Robert Mosser	3714				
The M Period for Reply	NAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence address				
THE MAILIN - Extensions of ti after SIX (6) Mt - If the period for - If NO period for - Failure to reply Any reply receive	IED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP! G DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION me may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repreply is specified above, the maximum statutory period within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuved by the Office later than three months after the mailing erm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) is will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to become ABANDO the cause the application to become ABANDO.	to e timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)				
Status							
1)⊠ Respo	nsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jun</u>	<u>e 29th, 2007</u> .					
3)☐ Since t	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of C	Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-65</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)∐ Claim(5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-65</u> is/are rejected.						
	s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim	s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Pap	ers						
9)☐ The spe	ecification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)☐ The dra	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	ement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oat	h or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
			eved in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2320	and a standard of the desired to the	,					
Attachment(s)	•						
``	rences Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ	ary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notice of Draft	sperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mai	il Date				
3) Information Dis Paper No(s)/M	sclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 ail Date) 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	al Patent Application (PTO-152)				
D							

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

This action is Non-Final responsive to the RCE filed June 29th, 2007.

Claims 23-65 are pending.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on June 29th, 2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims **23**, **27**, **29-30**, **33**, **35-36**, **38**, **40-42**, **45-46**, and **48** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by O'Halloran (US 6,439,993).

Claims 23, 29, 35, 38, 41, 45, and 48: O'Halloran teaches a method and apparatus for a video wagering game including:

allowing the player to place a wager and select the win lines (alternatively described a paylines) from a plurality of available win lines through the use of a input device operable by the player to enable play on a spinning reel-slot-type video game event having a plurality of symbol positions located on each win line (Abstract & Col 1:5-19, 2:39-64);

displaying a plurality of randomly selected game symbols on a display after the spinning of the display reels, each symbol appearing in a designated symbol position on a reel to form combinations of symbols along the selectable paylines (Abstract, Col 1:5-19, 2:44-53, 3:31-37 & Figures 1, 4);

a plurality of winning conditions and awards associated with a plurality of the conditions(Col 1:12-16);

at least one wild function that is operable to assign a first characteristic to a first group of symbols in a first combination (or equivalently a first win line) that differs from the initial symbol characteristic("@" Col 2:60-3:9), inoperable on a second combination (or equivalently a second win line) different from the first combination, and results in an increased likelihood of obtaining a winning condition (Col 2:46-3:17 & Figures 2-4);

Art Unit: 3714

upon the occurrence of a predetermined triggering event (Figure 2 Elm 30), randomly selecting between zero and fewer than a maximum number of viewable symbol positions (Fig 3 Elm 31) as a wild symbol position (Col 2:60-67);

converting (alternatively substituting or visually distinguishing) each symbol displayed within each selected wild symbol position to a wild symbol (Col 1:53-54; Fig 3 Elm 31) wherein the wild symbol operates on at least one but not all of the displayed game symbols (Fig 2,3,4,7; Col 2:48-54; Col 2:60-3:8); and

determining game outcomes based on the displayed game symbols and wild symbols and provide the player any awards associated with said game outcomes (Col 3:17-30).

Claims 33, and 40: The game of O'Halloran is further discloses the video wagering game as including processor for effecting the disclosed invention (Col 1:44-47) however, is silent regarding the incorporation of a data storage device for storing instructions utilized by the processor to effect the claimed invention as taught. The incorporation of memory in processor based gaming system is inherent feature without which the processor could not perform the disclosed invention of O'Halloran because the processor of O'Halloran would not have any instructions to execute and accordingly could in no way effect the system and method as taught be O'Halloran.

Claim 27, 30, 36, 42, and 46: O'Halloran teaches a method and apparatus for a video wagering game as taught above including the use of Wild symbols on a given

Art Unit: 3714

horizontal win line or pay line independent of possible additional win lines being employed at the time of play (Col 1:29-54, Claims 1-3, & Figs 2-4). Accordingly the first and second combinations as presented correspond to the series of randomly selected symbols appearing across a first and a second horizontal payline of O'Halloran.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 24-26, 28, 31-32, 34, 37, 39, 43-44, 47, and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Halloran (US 6,439,993) in view of Applicant admitted prior art.

Claims 24-26, 32, 34, 39, 44, and 49: In addition to the invention of O'Halloran as taught above, O'Halloran is silent regarding the explicit teaching of a sequential or simultaneous unveiling of each of the symbols displayed at each one of the designated locations as wild symbols however, it is Applicant admitted prior art that the sequential or simultaneous unveiling of game outcomes is extremely old and well known in the art of gaming for drawing out the user's anticipation during game play and alternatively accelerate the process of game play resulting in comparatively faster game play and increase operator revenue associated therewith. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have incorporated the sequential or simultaneous unveiling of each of the symbols displayed at each one of the designated locations as wild symbols in the prior art of O'Halloran as presented above in order to draw out the user's anticipation during game play or alternatively accelerate the process of game play resulting in comparatively faster game play and increase operator revenue associated therewith.

Claims 28, 31, 37, 43, and 47: In addition to the invention of O'Halloran as taught above, O'Halloran is silent regarding the explicit teaching of incorporating a server connected to the gaming device over a network for storing data related with the game however, it is Applicant admitted prior art that the utilization of a server in combination with a gaming device, connected through a network and utilized for storing information associated with the game is extremely old and well known in the art and used for purposes including but not limited to the incorporation of player tracking

Art Unit: 3714

systems, fraud prevention/detection, monetary handling services include cashless play, and the incorporation of pari-mutuel prize pools. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have incorporated a server connected to the gaming device over a network for storing data related with the game in order to allow additional game features including those listed in the preceding sentence to be implemented in conjunction with the gaming device of O'Halloran.

Claims **50-51**, **54-56**, and **58-65**, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bennett (US 6,251,013) in view of O'Halloran (US 6,439,993).

Claims **50**, **51**, **54**, **55**, **56**: Bennett teaches a gaming device including a game operable upon a wager (Col 1:17-47), including a plurality of reels wherein each reel contain a plurality of locations for a plurality of symbols (Col 2:54-61) and wherein further the symbols are combinable to form a plurality of combinations including combinations of a non-linear scatter configuration (Col 3:14-22 & Figures 4-5). The device of Bennett further includes at least one winning condition wherein on the occurrence of said condition the device provides an award to the player through paying a prize (Col 1:55-61). The device of Bennett further includes a processor controlled display device (Col 2: 39-51, 6:13-19) for operating the device to perform the method of:

causing combinations of symbols to be displayed after the reels are spun;

responsive to the occurrence of a designated event causing the display device to visually distinguish a plurality of symbol locations from the remaining symbol locations on the reels;

cause the symbols displayed at each of the visually distinguished symbol locations to have a wild function wherein, the wild function is operable on one or more of the symbols in a displayed combination to increase the likelihood of meeting the winning condition but inoperable on other symbols;

determine if a winning condition is present accounting for the presence of the wild symbols; and

providing the player an award associated with the presence of winning conditions (Figure 9, Col 5:53-65).

In the above correlation Bennett teaches revealing a plurality of symbols and combinations thereof on the game reels, designating a symbol of the "ten" to be a wild symbol, which is a different characteristic from it's original characteristic and applicable to only the additional identical "ten" symbols. After the transformation the device of Bennett inspects the displayed symbols to determine any winning combinations and payout any awards resultant thereof.

As taught above Bennett teaches the selection of wild symbols and the selection of scatter symbols in two separate embodiments however Bennett is apparently silent regarding the combination of a wild symbol and scatter symbol within the same embodiment. Bennett teaches the incorporation of paylines however is silent regarding the limiting of the active features to only the active paylines. The limiting of active

Art Unit: 3714

features to active paylines however is taught by the reference of O'Halloran as previously mentioned in the rejection of at least claim 23 under USC 102 as presented above. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have incorporate the wild symbol embodiment and the scatter symbol embodiment of Bennett into a singular embodiment with the payout limited effect according to the number of active paylines in order to increase the number of combinations resulting in a win as taught by Bennett (Col 1:48-52) and to further encourage the placement of max wagers per game that would activate all possible paylines.

Claims **56**, **59-61**, and **63-65**: Bennett teaches visually distinguishing the selected symbols and their respective locations on the reels from a plurality of other symbols based whether or not the symbol has been assigned as a scatter payout symbol (Col 5:1-9).

Claims **58**, and **62**: The method steps presented in the pending apparatus type claim are not bound by the order of their enactment and accordingly describe the process of Bennett as set forth in the rejection of at least claim **55** above.

Claims **52-53** and **57**, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bennett (US 6,251,013) in view of O'Halloran (US 6,439,993) in yet further view of Applicant admitted prior art.

Page 10

Art Unit: 3714

Claims 52-53: In addition to the invention of Bennett/ O'Halloran as taught above, Bennett/ O'Halloran is silent regarding the explicit teaching of a sequential or simultaneous unveiling of each of the symbols displayed at each one of the designated locations as wild symbols however, it is Applicant admitted prior art the sequential or simultaneous unveiling of game outcomes is extremely old and well known in the art of gaming for drawing out the user's anticipation during game play and alternatively accelerate the process of game play resulting in comparatively faster game play and increase operator revenue associated therewith. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have incorporated the sequential or simultaneous unveiling of each of the symbols displayed at each one of the designated locations as wild symbols in the prior art of Bennett/O'Halloran as presented above in order to draw out the user's anticipation during game play or alternatively accelerate the process of game play resulting in comparatively faster game play and increase operator revenue associated therewith.

Claim 57: In addition to the invention of Bennett/O'Halloran as taught above, Bennett/O'Halloran is silent regarding the explicit teaching of incorporating a server connected to the gaming device over a network for storing data related with the game however, it is Applicant admitted prior art the utilization of a server in combination with a gaming device, connected through a network and utilized for storing information associated with the game is extremely old and well known in the art and used for purposes including but not limited to the incorporation of player tracking systems, fraud prevention/detection, monetary handling services include cashless play, and the

incorporation of pari-mutuel prize pools. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have incorporated a server connected to the gaming device over a network for storing data related with the game in order to allow additional game features including those listed in the preceding sentence to be implemented in conjunction with the gaming device of Bennett/O'Halloran.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed June 29th 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant's newly presented limitations directed to the incorporation of a player selection device are understood presently to encompass the incorporation of an active pay line selection feature as correlated above.

With regards to the Official notice statements presented in the office action dated November 22nd 2006 including that the sequential or simultaneous displaying of game outcomes and the use of a server for remotely storing game information are well known in the art, the Applicant didn't present a challenge to these element as being well known in the art and therefore these elements are now considered Applicant admitted prior art (See MPEP 2144.03).

Conclusion

Art Unit: 3714

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Mosser whose telephone number is (571)-272-4451. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-4:30 Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/RM/ August 2nd 2007

MARK SAGER PRIMARY EXAMINER